

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,548	04/10/2006	Christine Rigaudeau	052776	8931
<sup>29980</sup> NICOLAS E. S	7590 06/14/200 SECKEL	7	EXAMINER	
Patent Attorney			TRAN, DIEM T	
	1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			PAPER NUMBER
			3748	
			<del></del>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·						
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/541,548	RIGAUDEAU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Diem Tran	3748				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .	`				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		T				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The preliminary amendment filed on 7/6/05 has been entered. Claims 1-12 have been amended. Overall, claims 1-12 are pending in this application.

# Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

- -The following headings of the specification are missing, such as:
- Background of the Invention.
- Brief Summary of the Invention.
- -Brief description of the drawing(s) as required by 37 FR 1.74. Appropriate corrections are required

## Claim Objections

Claims 1, 4, 5 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- -In claims 1, lines 18, 19, --at least one of-- should be inserted after "monitoring" and "and/or" should be changed to --and--.
- -In claim 4, lines 1, 2, --at least one of-- should be inserted after "also" and "and/or" should be changed to --and--.
- -In claim 5, line 2, --at least one of-- should be inserted after "also" and "and/or" should be changed to --and--. Appropriate corrections are required.

Art Unit: 3748

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito et al. (US Patent 6,378,297) in view of Schafer-Sindlinger et al. (US Patent Application 2004/0065078).

Regarding claim 1, Kume discloses a system for assisting regeneration of a particle filter integrated in an exhaust line of a motor vehicle diesel engine, the engine being associated with various units, including:

means for admitting air into the engine; means (24) for recycling exhaust gases from the engine to the inlet thereof; a turbocompressor (14); a particle filter (21) including a filter medium adapted to trap particles of soot present in the exhaust gases of said engine; an oxidation catalytic converter on the upstream side of the particle filter in the exhaust line (see Figure 23, col. 19, lines 66-67, col. 20, lines 1-4); a common system (26) for feeding fuel to the cylinders of the engine, including electrical fuel injectors associated with those cylinders (see col. 3, lines 27-32); means for acquiring information relating to various operating parameters of the engine and the units associated therewith; means for monitoring the operation of the air admission means, the recycling means, and the fuel feeding system in order to monitor the operation of the engine, these means being further adapted to trigger a phase of regenerating the particle filter by

combustion of the particles trapped therein by triggering a phase of multiple injections of fuel into the cylinders of the engine during their expansion phase (see Figures 10, 12, col. 19, lines 6-20, 29+); however, fails to disclose adding to the fuel an additive to reduce the combustion temperature of particles trapped in the particle filter, and said filter medium of said particle filter is coated with a material capable of constituting a reserve of oxygen adapted to propagate the combustion of the soot during an operation of regenerating the particle filter.

Schafer-Sindlinger teaches that it is conventional in the art to add an additive to the fuel to reduce the combustion temperature of particles trapped in the particle filter (see page 1, par. [4]), and a filter medium of a particle filter is coated with a material capable of constituting a reserve of oxygen adapted to propagate the combustion of the soot during an operation of regenerating the particle filter (see page 1, par. [10], page 5, par. [78]).

It would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art, to have utilized the teaching of Schafer-Sindlinger in the Ito system, since the use thereof would have saved fuel due to a lower required soot combustion temperature.

Regarding claims 2, 3, Schafer-Sindlinger further teaches that material is cerium oxide or is a mixed oxide of cerium and zirconium (see page 3, par. [32]).

Regarding claims 4-6, Schafer-Sindlinger further teaches that the particle filter is also coated with a catalyst such as platinum for encouraging the triggering of reactions tending to reduce the pollutant emissions of the engine (see abstract).

Regarding claim 7, Schafer-Sindlinger further teaches that the distribution of the various materials in the filter is non-uniform (see page 4, par. [39]).

Regarding claim 8, Schafer-Sindlinger further teaches that material capable of constituting a reserve of oxygen is preferentially disposed in the downstream region of the inlet passages of the filter (see pages 3, 4, par. [31, 38]).

Regarding claim 9, Schafer-Sindlinger further teaches that the catalyst encouraging the triggering of the combustion of the soot is preferentially disposed in the upstream region of the inlet passages of the filter (see page 4, par. [37]).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Diem Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-4866. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Friday from 8:30 a.m.- 5:00p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax number for this group is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 3748

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 800-786-9199 (toll-free).

Diem Tran

Patent Examiner

Art unit 3748

DT

THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700